THE GREAT

QUESTION

CONCERNING

THINGS INDIFFERENT

IN

Religious VV orship,

Briefly stated; and tendred to the Consideration of all Sober and Impartial men.

Chillingworth Præf. S. 34.

Not Protestants for rejecting, but the Church of Rome for imposing upon the Faith of Christians, Doctrines unwritten and unnecessary, and for disturbing the Churches Peace, and dividing Unity in such matters, is in an High degree presumptuous and Schismatical.

LONDON,

Printed in the Year, 1660.

Mhc8 1660 B16

The Publisher of this Treatise

TO THE

Christian and Candid READER.

Hough Opinions should be weighed, not by the Reputation of the Authors which deliver, but by the strength of the Arguments which defend them; yet it is too usual with unobserving Readers, to slight the Argument for the Author's sake; and to consider, not so much what is said, as, who it is that sayes it. Which being the common Fate of most Discourses, such especially as do at all meddle with that excellent, but too much abused Notion of Christian Liberty, do most expose the Writers to Censure: The most obvious Character that is fastned upon them being, that they are Meneither of Loose, or else of Factious Principles: and so being discredited, before they are read; their books, how sober soever, do not remove, but only settle and fix the Preconceived Prejudice; as in diseased stomacks, every thing they take turns to nourish and to increase the Humor.

That this is like to be the Fortune of this small Treatise, I have reason to expect; and therefore I have suffered it to run abroad into the world without a Name, like one of those Austranta speen, Pliny mentions; as if it were Born of it felf, and begotten without a Parent. That so those few Readers it may meet with, may only fasten upon the Faults of the Discourse it self, without diverting themselves unto that Question, which all Times, as well as Sauls, (2 Sam. 10.12) have malice enough to make a Proverb of, But who is their Father? Yet Christian Reader, that it may appear only with its own Faults, and have no aggravating Suspicions upon it, from any mistake of the Author's Designe or Humour, I have

adventured to give thee this Account of Him.

of England, as it is contained in the 39. Articles; and for that which is the Prime Branch of Discipline, viz. Episcopacy, or the Subordination between Bishops and Presbyters; he doth own it to be of Apostolical Institution; that is, as he understands it, Jure Divino. At least

TO THE READER.

he thinks him elf able to feak as much for the Order of Bishops in the Church, as any can for the Baptizing of Infants, for the Change of the Sabbath, or for any thing elfe, which hath no particular Divine Precept, but only Primitive Practice and Example to warrant it. And therefore in Conformity to this Principle of His, when the Bishops were (unk lowest, not only for Pomp, but likewise for Reputation; and when no temptation either of Profit or Convenience, but rather the contrary, could work upon him; He then chose to be ordained a Presbyter by one of them: which is a greater Argument of his Reality and Stedfastness in Fridgment, then most of those, who now signalize themselves by Distinctive Habits, can pretend to; since such may reasonably be presumed to wear them, either because they are the Fashion, or else the way

to Preferment.

Secondly, This I must say likewise, that none is more satisfied with the Present Government, or hath a more Loyall and Affectionate Esteem for his Majesties Person and Prudence, then this Writer: and therefore instead of declaiming against, or too rigid re-enforcing our Old Rites. fitted only for the Infancy of the Church (these being as it were its swadling-clouts, and at the best do but show its Minority) he doth heartily wish that all Parties would agree to referre the whole Caufe of Ceremonies to his Majesties single Decision: from whose unwearied endeavours in Procuring first, and afterwards in Passing so full an Amnesty of all our Civill Discords; we need not doubt but we may obtaine, that these Apples of Ecclesiastical Contention may be removed out of the way. Which are (o very Trifles, that they would vanish of themselves, but that some mens Pride, others want of Merit make them (o follicitous to continue them; least if those little Things were once taken away, they should want something whereby to make themselves Remarkeable.

Lastly, He doth profess yet further, that as to himself he needs not that Liberty, which here he pleads for : since, though for the Present he doth make use of that Indulgence, which his Majesty hath been pleased to allow unto Tender Consciences, i. To all Rationall and Sober Christians: (the continuance of which, he dares not so much wrong his Majesties Goodness, as once to question) yet should his Majesty be prevailed upon for some Reason of State, to enjoyne Outward Conformity; this Wri-

TO THE READER.

ter is resolved, by the Help of God, either to submit with Chearfullness, or else to suffer in sitence. For as there is an Active Disobedience, viz. to Resist, which is a Practice he abhorres; so there is a Passive Disobedience, and that is to Repine, which he can by no means Approve off. Since whatever He cannot Conscienciously do, he thinks himself obliged to suffer for, with as much foy, and with as little Reluctance, as if any

other Act of Obedience was called for from him.

Having faid this concerning the Author, I need not feak much concerning the Argument; but only this, that it was not written out of Vanity or Ostensation of Wit; but as a Question, in which he is really unsatisfied; and therefore thought himself bound to impart his Doubts, which having done to many in Discourse with little success or (atisfaction; he hath now Communicated them to the World, bepine they may light into (nch mens hands, who may be prevailed upon, if not to alter the Judgement, yet at least to moderate the Passion of some, who would put out our Eyes, because we cannot see with their Spectacles; and who have placed Ceremonies about Religion, a little too truely as a Fence, for they serve to keep out all others from their Communion. All therefore which this Treatife aims at, is briefly to prove this, ---- That none is to Hedge up the Way to Heaven; or by scattering Thornes and Punctilioes in it, to make Christianity more Cumbersome, Tedious and Difficult, then Christ hath left it. That is in fort, That none can Impose, what our Saviour in his Infinite Wisedome did not think Necessary, and therefore left Free.

Farewell.

GREAT QUESTION

Concerning

Things Indifferent in Religious VV or ship,

Stated and tendred to the Consideration of all sober and Impartiall men.

Quest. Whether the Civill Magistrate may lawfully impose and determine the Use of Indifferent things, in reference to Religious Worship.

Or the understanding and righter staring of this Question, I will suppose these two things; I. That a Christian may be a Magistrate; this I know many do deny grounding themselves upon that Discourse of our Saviour to his Disciples, Teknow, faith he, that the Princes of the Gentiles do exercise Dominion over them, and they that are great, exercise Mat. 20. Authority upon them. But is shall not be so among st you : from whence they inferre, that all who will be Christs Disciples, are thereby forbid any exercise of Temporall Soveraignry. And I remember amongst many other of the Primitive Writers, who were of the same opinion, Tertullian in his Apology doth expresly fay, Nos ad emnem Ambitionis auram frigemus, &c. We i. Christians, sayes he, have not the least Taint of Ambition, being so farre from affecting Honours, that me look not after so much as the Edileship, which was the lowest Magistracy in Rome; and afterwards of Tiberius, Tiberius, fayes he, would have become a Christian, if either the world did not need, or it were lawfull for Christians to be Emperours. Many other expressions there are both in Tertullian, Cyprian, and Origen, to the same purpose. But

Concerning Things Indifferent

because the Practice of the Christian world, down from Constantines time, even in the most Reformed Churches, hath carried it in the Affirmative for Christian Magistracy; and the contrary Doctrine, besides the Gap it opens to all Civill Consusion, is built only upon some remote Consequences from Scripture, rather then any direct proof. I will therefore admit that a Christian may lawfully exercise the Highest place of Magistracy, only, as the Apostle saith in another case, in the Lord, i. not extending his Commission sarther then the Word of God doth warrant him.

2. I will suppose that there are some things in their own Nature Indifferent, I mean, those Outward Circumstances of our Actions; which the Law of God hath lest Free and Arbitrary, giving us only generall Precepts for the Use of them either way: Such are, Do all things to the glory of God, And, Do what makes most for edifferation, and the like, which Rules whoever observes, may in things Indifferent, either do or sorbear them, as he in his Christian Pru-

dence shall think Convenient.

Of these indifferent things some are Purely so, as the Time and Place of meeting for Religious Worship; which seem to me, to be so very Indisferent, that they cannot without great violence, be wrested to any superstitious Observance; and therefore concern-

ing these I do not dispute.

Other things there are commonly supposed Indisserent in their own Nature, but by Abuse have become occasions of Superstition: such as are, Bowing at the name of Jesus, the Cross in Baptisme, Pistures in Churches, Surplices in Preaching, Kneeling at the Sacrament, set Formes of Prayer, and the like; All which seem to some Indisserent in their own Nature, and by any, who is perswaded in his Conscience of the Lawfullness of them, without doubt may lawfully enough be practised; yet I hold it utterly unlawfull for any Christian Magistrate to impose the use of them. And that for these Reasons.

Religion in generall, which in every part of it is to be Free and Unforced; for fince the Christian Magistrate cannot, as I think now all Protestant Writers do agree, force his Religion upon any, but is to leave even those poore Creatures the fews and Mahamedans, to their unbelief (though they certainly perish in it) rather then by Fines and Imprisonments to torture them out of it; then much

less may heabridg his Fellow Christian, in things of lesser Moment, and which concerne not the substance of his Religion, from using that Liberty in ferving God, which his Conscience prompts him to, and the Nature of his Religion doth warrant him in; For God as he loves a chearfull giver, To likewise a chearfull Worshipper, ac-

cepting of no more, then we willingly performe.

Secondly, And more particularly. This imposing of things Indifferent, is directly contrary to Gofpel-Precept. Our Saviour doth in many places Enveigh against the Rigid and Imposing Pharises, Mat. 23. for laying yoakes upon others, and therefore invites all to come unto him for Freedome, Take my joake upon you, faith he, for it is Mat. 11. easie, and my burden is light. And, If the Sonne set you Free, Joh. 8.36. then are you Free indeed. Where by Freedome I do not only understand Freedome from fin, but from all Humane Impositions; since the Apostle Paul doth seem to allude unto this place, in that Command of his to the Galatians, Stand fast in the Liberty, wherewith Christ hath made you Free, and, be not again entangled with Gal. 5.1. the Tonke of Bondage; where, that I may prevent an Objection, I will grant, that by Yoake of Bondage, he understands Circumcifion and other femish Ceremonies; but from thence I will draw an unanswerable Argument against the arging of any other now upon a Christian Account; since that the Mosaicall Ceremonies which had so much to plead for themselves, upon the Account of their Divine Originall; and which, even after they were fullfilled by our Saviour, still remained indifferent in their Use, and were so practifed by Paul; yet when once they were Imposed, and a Necesfity pleaded for their Continuance, the Apostle Writes so sharply against them, exhorting the Galatians to stand fast in their Liberty, as part of our Saviours purchase. If this, I say, was the case with those old Rites, then much less can any now impose an invenned Horme of Worship, for which there cannot be pretended the least warrant that ever God bid Authorize it. And it feems altogether needless, that the Jewish Geremonies, should, as to their Necessity at least, expire and be abrogated, if others might succeed in their roome, and be as strictly commanded, as ever the former were : For this only returnes us to our Bondage again, which is fo much the more intolerable, in that our Religion is filled the Perfett Jac. 1.25. Law of Liberry : which Liberry I understand not wherein it confilts, if in things Necessary, we are already determined by God.

and in things Indifferent we may still be tied up to Humane Ordinances, and Outside Rites, at the pleasure of our Christian Magistrates. To these Scriptures which directly deny all Imposition, may be

added all those Texts, which consequentially doit, such as are Do to others, as you would have others do to you: And who is there that would have his Conscience imposed upon? And, You that are strong, bear with the Infirmity of the weak; Whereas this Practife will be so farre from easing the Burden of the weak; that if men are at all scrupulous, it only laies more load upon them. These Scriptures, with many hundreds the like, show that this kind of Rigour is prerly inconfiftent with the Rules of Christian Forbearance and Charity, which no Christian Magistrate ought to think himselfe absolved from : Since though as a Magistrate he hath a Powerin Civil things; yet as a Christian, he ought to have a care that in things of spiritual concernment he grieve not the minds of any, who are upon that Relation, not his Subjects, fo much as his Brethren: and therefore fince they have left their Naturall, and voluntarily parted with their Civill, they ought not to be entrenched upon in their Spirituall Freedome: especially by such a Magistrate, who owning the same Principles of Religion with them, isthereby engaged to use his Power, only to support, and not to ensnare them : to Bound perhaps; but not to Abridge their Liberty; to keep it indeed from runing into Licencioninels (which is a Morall Evill) but not to Shackle, Undermine and Fetter it, under pretence of Decency and Order. Which when once it comes to be an Order of constraint, and not of consent, it is nothing else but in the Imposer, Tyranny; in the person Imposed upon, Bondage : and makes him to be, what in things appertaining to Reli-

7. 23. gion we are forbidden to be, viz. The servants of men. Te are bought, saith the Apostle, with a price, and manumitted by Christ, be you not the Servants of men: which prohibition doth not forbid. Civil Service, for be said a little before, Art thou called while

werse 21, then are a servant? care not for it; but if then canst be free, use it rather, Implying, that Civill Liberty is to be preserved before servitude, yet not to be much contended for, but held as a matter Indisserent; but when once our Masters, shall extend their Rule over the Conscience, then this precept holds valid, be ye not the servants of men.

Thirdly, It is contrary to Christian practice, of which

we have many remarkable Instances:

1. The first shall be that of our Saviour Christ, who was of a most sweet and complying disposition; he sayes of himself, that he came Eating and Drinking, i.e. doing the common Actions of other men; and therefore he never disdained to keep company withany, even the meanest and most despicable sinner; his retinue consisting for the most part of those the fews called, Augrahas, i. sinners in an eminent and notorious manner; whom as a Physician he not only cur. d; but as a mercifull Priest fought out to fave. Yei when his Christian Liberty came once to be invaded, he layed aside his gentler esse, and proved a stiff and peremptory Assertour To omit many passages, of which his Story is full, I shall mention but one, and that was his refusing to wash his hands before Mat. 15. This was not onely a thing in it felf indifferent, but likewife had some Argument from Decency to induce, and a constant Tradition from the Elders or Sanhedrim to enforce it, who at this time were not onely their Ecclefiastical, but their Civil Rulers: Yet all these Motives, in a thing so innocent and small as that was, would not prevail with our Saviour to quit his Liberty of eating with unwashed hands. And in defence of himself, he calls them [nperstitions fools, and blind guides, who were offended at him; and leaves two unanswerable Arguments, which are of equal validity in things of the like nature. As

1. That this was not a Plant, of his Fathers planting, and therefore it should be rooted up; whereby our Saviour intimates, that as the Pharisees had no divine warrant to prescribe such a Toy as that was, so God would at last declare his Indignation against their Supererogatory Worship, by pulling it up Root and Branch. From whence I gather this Rule, That when once Humane Inventions become Impositions, and lay a Necessity upon that, which God hathlest Free; then may we lawfully reject them, as Plants of many

2. The second Argument our Saviour uses is, That these things did not defile a man, i.e. as to his mind and conscience. To eat with unwashed hands was at the worst, but a point of ill manners, and unhandsome perhaps or indecent, but not an impious or ungodly thing; and therefore more likely to offend nice stomacks, than scrupulous consciences. Whose satisfaction in such things as these our Saviour did not at all study. From whence I inferre,

That

Concenning Things Indifferent

That in the worship of God we are chiefly to look after the Substance of things; and as for Circumstances, they are either not worth
our Notice, or else will be Answerable to our Inward Impressions;
according to which our Saviour in another place, sayes, O blinde
Mat. 23 26 Phanise, cleanse first the Inside of the Cup, and of the Platter,
that so the outside may be clean; hereby implying, that a Renewed
Heart, will be sure to make a changed and seemly behaviour; whereas the most specious outside is consistent with Inward Filth and Rottennesse. So that they who presse outward conformity in Divine
Worship, endeavour to serve God the wrong way, and often times
do only force Carnal and Hypocritical men to present God a Sacrifice which he abhorres; while to others that are more Tender and
Scrupulous, they make the Sacrifice it self unpleasant, because
they will not let it be, what God would have it, a Free-willoffering.

A&.15.

2. My second Instance shall be the Resolution of the Apostles in that Famous and Important Quere, concerning the fewish Ceremonies, whether they were to be imposed or not; after a long di-Shute to finde out the Truth (worlds ouththoses prousons, faves the Text) Peter directly oppoles those Rites, Why, fayes he, die ye tempt God, by putting a Toke upon the Neck of the Disciples. Intimating that to put a Toke upon others (and to impose in things indifferent is certainly a great one) from which, God hath either expressy freed us by commanding the contrary: or else tacitly freed us, by not commanding them: This is nothing else, but to Tempt Gad, and to pretend to be more Wife and Holy than he. fames decries those Ceremonies, upon this score, least they should (mageroxiair) be troublesome to the converted Gentiles; implying, that however men may think it a small matter, to impose an Indifferent thing, yet indeed it is an infinite Trouble and matter of Disquier to the Parry imposed upon because he is thereby disabled from using his Liberty, in that which he knows to be Indifferent.

Upon the hearing of these two, the Result of the whole Connect was, that the Brethren should not be imposed upon, although the Arguments for conformity were much stronger then, then now they can be; because the feme in all probability, might thereby have been the sooner wonne over to the Christian Perswasion. The Decree which that Apostolical, and truly Christian Synod makes is very observable.

1. From the Stile they use, It seems good (say they) to the holy Ghost, and to m,—so that who ever exercises the same imposing power, had need be sure he hath the same Divine Authority; for fear he onely rashly assumes what was never granted him.

2. From the things they Impose, It seemes good, &c. (say they) to lay upon you no greater burden than these necessary things. That you abstain from things offered to Idols, from Blood, from things strangled, and from Fornication. Whence I observe,

1. That they call their Imposition Base, a weight, or burden; which is not unnecessarily to be layed on the shoulders of

any.

Necessary things, to show, that Necessary things onely, and not

Indifferent, should be the matter of our Imposition.

For whereas some gather from hence, that the Church, i.where a State is Christian, the Christian Magistrate, hath a power to obliege men to the doing of things he commands, though in their own Nature they be indifferent; because they suppose that the Apostles did so, as for example, in forbidding to ear Blood, therefore consider,

1. That this is quite contrary to the Apostle's scope, whose bufiness was to Ease and Free, and not to Tie up their Brethren; and therefore they say, they meerly do lay upon them things very

necessary.

2. That all those things they forbid, were not Indifferent, but long before prohibited by God, not only in the Ceremonial, but in his Positive Law, and therefore obligatory, whereupon the Apostles call them Necessary, i. things necessary to be forborn, even

before they had made any Decree against them. As

them was not in all cases indifferent; for to do it with conscience of the Idol, i. intending thereby to worship the Idol, this was a thing against the second Commandment. But if a man was convinced that the Idol was nothing, and therefore the meat, though consecrated, was Free to him: Yet if his weaker Brother was offended; he was then to abstain in observance of Christian Charity and Condescension: But if the Eater himself did doubt, then was he to sorbear for his own peace and quiet's sake, for to eat, while

while he was unfacisfied whether it was lawfull or not, was nothing else but to condemn himself, as the Apostle sayes, He that doubts. is (not damned, as we render it, but rataxineite -) condemned i. Self-condemn'd if he eat, because he doth that which he inward-Ty doth either not approve, or else at least suspects, that it is not lawfull: fo that the Case of eating " Juna", being so nice, and fo apt to be mistaken: the Apostles do make their Prohibition Universal, as that which was most safe, and least subject to

scruple.

2. A sua and werra, Blood: i.e. Flesh with the blood; or as some. Raw flest; and Things strangled; to eat these was not indifferent, but prohibited long before by God, in his Law given to Noah. And therefore the Apostles Prohibition here, is not to be interpreted, astheir giving a Temporary Law, with respect had to the then Constitution and occonomy of the fews (as some weakly and without ground from Scripture, imagine) but rather as a Reviving and Reenforcing an old Law, which being given by God to Noah, both then was, and still is Obligatory to all his Pollerity.

God having no where dispensed with it,

3. Lastly, Hogenia, if you render it Fornication, then it is evidently contrary to those Precepts of Purity, Holiness and Perfection, which God every where requires. But if you expound it, as many learned men do, Unlawfull Copulations; then the Prohibition enforces upon us the observance of those Laws concerning Marriage, which are recorded in Levit. 18. and which is evident are not in their own Nature indifferent, fince marrying with our Mother, Sifter, or Daughter, the Heathen Plato, and the Grecian Laws condemned even by the light of Nature. And God. there in that Chapter, calls the contrary Practices, Abominable Cufromes; for which he threatens to root even the Heathen out -v. 27.ad fin.

From what has been faid out of this Instance, I conclude, That fince, 1. The Apostles, though divinely inspired, yet did not impose any Rites upon the Church, by their own proper Power. but joyn themselves with the Holy Ghoff, as being Act d and Commissioned by him. Since, 2. They use no Arguments from Decency to justifie their Imposition, nor lay any Unnecessary Burden upon any, by forbidding or enjoyning things purely Indifferent, but onely prohibit such things, as they call, and it is clear from

what

what has been faid, that they were Necessary. And lastly, fince the Retaining some of the more Innocent and lesse Burdensome Ceremonies of the fews, in point of Order and Convenience only. would in all probability have been the readiest means to bring that Precise and Superstitious People unto a Compliance with the Gospel; and without doubt for that reason would have been enjoyned had the Apostles conceived they had any power to have medled with them. Hence I conclude for Persons, 17. Who have no such Authority. 2. In things much more indifferent. And lastly, Where the Necessity of conforming is nothing neer so pressing and urgent. For fuch, I fay, to take upon themselves an Arbitrary and an Imposing Power it is altogether Unwarrantable, and confequently finfull.

3. My last instance shall be that of the Apostle Paul, who was of an Universally Complying carriage; he sayes of himself, That be became all things to all men, even to fews as a few, &c. with many I Cor. 9. more words to the same purpose. And to show his Liberty, he circumcifed Timothy, though a Greeke, that he might gain the fews Ad. 16. in those parts. But when once a Sect of men rose up, who began to preach the Necessity of Circumcision, he doth in many places tharply inveigh against them, calling them doggs, evil workers. and in derision, re relouir, or the Concision; and concludes his Epifile to the Galatians, with bidding them to beware of fuch, as laboured to boast in their stess, i. sought to bring them unto a Conformity in those outward Ordinances. Nay so jealous and precisely carefull was that Apostle of this great Christian Priviledge and Charter, viz. Freedom in Indifferent things; that he could not brook so much as Peter's suspicious carriage in that particular, but for his Distimulation, and pretending to be lesse Free, than he was; Paul sayes, That he openly reproved him to his Face. And for Gal.2. other False Brethren, who crept into their Assemblies, meerly to fie out their liberty, and without doubt, used the same Arguments for Conformity, which many do now; the Apostie sayes, He resisted them, and yeelded not to them so much as for a moment; And that he might for ever preserve his Galatians from being enfnared, and brought under bondage again, he leaves them the Caveat. I mentioned before, Stand fast in your liberty, &c. From whence I inferre, that so long as a thing is left Indifferent, though there be some suspicion of Superstition in it, we may lawfully pradiseit, as Paul did Circumcision: But when any shall take upon

19,23.

them to make it Necessary, then the thing so imposed presently soses not its Liberty only, but likewise its Lawfulnesse; and we may not, without Breach of the Apostles Precept, submit sunto it: because we thereby do own, that those whose injunctions we obey, had a power to impose; and so, by assenting, we become abetters

and promoters of their Usurpation.

4. My last Argument against Impositions, shall be taken from the Inconveniences that attend such a practite. For though I lay little stressed upon such kind of Arguments (because Truth is to be tried by its Evidence, and not by its Consequences) yet because, I. In Principles, on which moral Actions are grounded, the Inconveniences, do use to be weighed, and that Doctrin for the most part seems most True, at least most Plausible, which is attended by sewest Inconveniences: and because, 2. The Opposers of Liberty, have very little else to urge for themselves, but by pretending the many inconveniences that flow from it. Therfore I shall clearly prove that many more Absurd, and more Destructive and Fatal Consequences attend the Doctrine of Impositions, then the Doctrine of Christianliberty. As

1. The first Inconvenience is, the Impossibility to fix a point where the Imposer will stop. For do but once grant, That the Mar giffrate hath power to impose, and then we lie at his mercy, how tarre he will go. For the Marriage of Ministers, boly Unstion, Confecrating the Hoft, &c. are as indifferent in their own nature, as Using the Croffe, or Surplice. And if the Magistrate hath indeed lawfull power to Impose, he may as well Command those as these: especially if he be convinced that they are either Decent or Convenient; at which door have entred in all those groffe Fooleries, which are in the Popish worship: any of which, take them fingly, and apart from the Circumstances which determine them, so they are Indifferent, and may, for ought I know, be conscientiously observed. But putthem together, and confider the Power which Impofes, and the End which continues them; fo they are the groffe & Idolatry, and the vilest Tyranny that ever yet was practised. For we are for the most part mistaken in the Notion of Popery, if we fee a Surplice, or a Cross, or Organs, or Bowing, we presently ery out Popery: wheras I think it a more manifest sign of Popery to forbid these things, as we do, under Penalties, than to practife them with Freedime. If I understand any thing of Antichrift, his Nature feems to me to confift in this, That he Asts in a way contrary to

N.

N.

Christ, i.e. in stead of a spiritual, he brings in a Devised Wor-Ship; and in stead of Freedome, layes a Conftraint even upon our So that, as fohn in his Revelation fayes of him, Men shall neither buy, nor sell, who have not his mark, i. who do not Rev. 13. ferve God in that outward way, which he commands. So that whoever doth own the Doctrine of Imposition, though in the smallest circumstance of Worship, he brings in the Essence, though not the Name of Popery; and layes down that for his Foundation, on which all the Will-worship, which this day reigns in the world, is bottomed. For what ever opinions we have concerning the Necesfity of Bowing, Kneeling, or the like, while they stand confined to our private practifes, they are at worst but Hay and stubble, which will perish at the day of account, though he that doth them 2 Cor. 3. may very well be faved. But when once a man goes further, and not content with his Perswasions, envies his Brother that Liberty, which he himself desires to enjoy; and seeks to obtrude his Conceits upon others, who perhaps are not so well satisfied as he is: Whoever doth this, becomes Impious to God, by invading his Sovereignty, and lording it over another mans Conscience; and likewise Injurious to men, by pressing such things, as are onely Baits to the Careleffe, and Traps for the Conscientions. I know very well, that the Argument is specious, and often urged-Why should men be so scrupulous? most pleading for Ceremonies, as Lot did for Zoar, Are not they little things? But I answer, 1. That a little thing unwarrantably done is a great fin. 2. That a little thing unjustly gained, makes way for a greater : and therefore we should not let the Serpent get in his Head, how beautifull fo ever it feems, least he brings in his Tail, and with that his fing .--How curious even almost to Superstition, our Saviour and his Apostles, especially Paul, were, I have already mentioned; by whose Example we are little profited, if we do not learn, that in Impositions we are not so much to consider how small and inconsiderable the thing imposed is, as how lawfull it is: Not, what it is in it felf, as whether it tends, and what will be the Consequence of its Admission. For the smaller the thing imposed is, the more is our Christian Liberty invaded, and consequently the more injurious and finfull is its imposition.

2. The second Inconvenience is, that it quite inverts the Nature of Christian Religion; not only by taking away its Freedome, but

likewife

likewise its spirituality, our Saviour sayes, that God will now be worshipped not in show, and Ceremony, but in spirit, and in truth; whereas this Dostrine of Impositions, places it in such things, in the observance of which, Superstition will be sure to out-do Devotion. But true Religion, like the spirits of wine or subtle essences, when everit comes to be Opened and Exposed to view, runs the hazard of being presently dispirited and lost in the service of God there is a vast difference, between Purity and Pomp, between spirit and splendour; whereas the Imposer only drives at and improves the later; but of the former is altogether secure and carelesse, as is evident in those places, where Uniformity is most strictly pradised.

3. Thirdly, This Doctrine making no provision at all for such as are surupulous and tender, supposes the same measure of Faithin all. whereas nothing is more clear than, as the Apostle sayes concerning Things offered to Idols, so concerning Ceremonies, I may fav. that All have not knowledg. But to this day many there are utterin unfatisfied with the Lawfulneffe of any, and most are convinced of the Velesnesse of them all. Whose Consciences, how erroneous fo ver, yet are to be Tenderly and Gently dealt with; least by our Rigid Commanding what they can by no means comply with, we bring them unto that Dangerous Dilemma, either of breaking their Inward Peace and Comfort, by doing outwardly what they do not inwardly approve of: or elfe of running themselves upon the Rocks of Poverty and Prejudice, by disobeying what is command-For though we are upon all occasions to suffer gladly, yet let not Reuben (mite Ephraim; let us not receive our wounds in the house of our Friends; for then our sufferings will be sharpned from the consideration of the Unkindness; that our Brethren should put us upon the Trial of our Faith and Patience, especially in such things, which while the Impofer calls Indifferent, he thereby acknowledges, that they may very well be spared.

4. The last Inconvenience is, that by Impositions, especially when the Penalty is severe, we seem to lay as much weight and stress upon these Indifferent things, as upon any the most materiall parts of our Religion. This Rigid Irrespective obtruding of small things, makes no difference at all between Ceremony and Substance. So that a man who were not a Christian at all, would find as good, nay perhaps better usage from the Imposer; then he who labouring and

endea-

endeavouring to live up to other parts of Christian Faith, shall yet forbear to practice these Ceremonies: Which is not only Harshand Cruel, but very Incor gruous dealing, that a Jew or Mahumedan, shall be better regarded than a meak and scrupulous Christian. This is nothing else but to deale with Christians as Jephtha did with the Ephraimites, to kill them for no weightier crime, than because they cannot pronounce Shibboleth.

To those Inconveniencies I might adde the certain decay of the growth of Religion asto its inward Purity, while there is this Diguife and Maske of needless Ceremonies upon it to keep it under: but those which I have already urged, are so great, that those which are commonly infifted upon by men of another Perswasion, are not at all to be put into the Balance with them : as will appear by this

brief Answer, to their main Objections.

1. They object that this will be the way to beget all manner of Diforder and Confusion; that every man will have a severall Fashion and Custome by himself; and for want of Uniformity and Ceremony, the unity and effence of Religion will perish. But I answer.

1. Doth any plead for Baal? He that will abuse the Principle of Liberty, to justifie his Licenciousness of Life, let him know that the Magistrate bears not the Sword in vain, but hasit to cut off such offenders. If you suffer as Christians, saith the Apostle, rejoyce at it; 2 Pet. 14. But let none suffer, as a Thief, Murderer, Kanomics, i. a seditions person or State Incendiary, or, as a busie intermedier in other mens matters: for he that doth these things suffers justly, nor can he plead any thing from the Gospel, which is a Rule of strictness, to ex-

empt him from Punishment.

2. This Disorder, which is so vehemently and so Tragically aggravated, and for the prevention of which Ceremonies, must be invented and forced, is indeed nothing else but a Malicious and Ill sounding name, put upon an excellent & most comely thing, i.e. variety. For as God, though he be a God of Order, hath not made all men of one countenance, and in the world hath given feverall and divers shapes to many things which yet are the same for substance; so in the Assemblies of his People, who all come to Honour him, and agree in the Essence of his Worship, why should we doubt but God will be well pleased with their variety in Circumstances; the exercise of which not only their Consciences do prompt, but God himself doth

doth induce them to, because in his Word he hath not prescribed any one Outward Forme, that all should necessarily agree in; but in such things hath lest them to the Dictates of their own Spirits, and the guidance of Christian prudence; which Variety is so farre from being a Confusion, that nothing can be more Comely and Harmonious, as serving to set out the Indulgence of God, the different Actings of the Holy Spirit, and the Liberty of the Saints, who preserve Unity in mind, without Uniformity in Behaviour.

2. The second Objection is the practice of the femish Princes, who as foon as ever they were enstalled in their Kingdomes, set upon reforming the House of God, and imposing upon all a Form of Worship: which since all Scripture is Written by Divine Inspiration, and for our Rom. 16. Instruction, seems to be a leading case that Christian Princes

should imitate them, and do so likewise. But I answer.

1. Though Arguments taken from Analogy are of very little weight, when Positive Precepts are required, yet I will grant, that the Piety of the Jewish, is, and ought to be exemplary to the Christian Magistrates, — but with all I deny the Inference, since the Jewish Princes, when they Reformed Religion, they therein followed a Divine Law, which did command it from them, and which, in the minutest circumstances, had provided for uniformity of Worship: from which Rigor and Restraint all Christians are absolved, and therefore it is very unconcluding to Argue from the Jews, who had; to the Christian Magistrate, who wants Divine Authority. To this is also Objected.

3. That fince things Necessary to the worship of God, be already determined by God, and over them the Magistrate has no power; if likewise he should have no Power in Indifferent Things, then it would follow, that in things appertaining to Religion, the Christian Magistrate had no power at all --- which they think to be very Absurd --- so the Reverend and Learned Mr Hooker, and Dr Sander-

fon. But I answer.

1. That it is no Absurdity at all, that Princes should have no more power in ordering the things of God, than God himself hath allowed them. And if God hath no where given them such an Imposing Power, they must be content to go without it. But in this case, where will the Christian Magistrate find his Warrant, the Scriptures being utterly silent, that he is now to take such Authority upon him, which, because the thing concerns not man, but the Worship

Worstip of God, had it been thought necessary and Fit, would cer-

tainly not have been omitted.

2. It is so farre from being an Argument for In positions, to urge that the thing Imposed is Indifferent, that there cannot be a stronger Argument against them: ince it is is as requisite to Christian Practice, that Things Indifferent, should full be kept Indifferent, as Things Necessary, be held Necessary, -- As I have already

proved.

Lastly, It is much more suited to the Nature of the Gospel, that Christian Princes should reforme Religion, rather by the example of their Life, than by the severity of their Laws; and if they may show their power atall in this case, it should rather be by Sutstrating, then by Adding. --- By taking away all impertinences, which may hinder the Progress of it, rather than by obtruding unwarrantable Methods, to tye all menup to such Outward Formes;

as may make Piety suspected only for Policy disguised.

Much more might be faid for this from Authority, but I willingly wave it. For it Scripture and Reason will not prevaile to hinder Impolitions, I have no cause to expect that any sentences from Antiquity should. --- Only this is certain, that all the Writings of the Christians for the first three hundred years, are full of nothing else but such Arguments as evince a Liberty, more Absolute and Univerfall than I contend for. And likewise it may be of some weight. that the Churches Dollrine was then more pure, their Discipline more first and severe than now; and yet they had nothing but Mutuall Consent, either to Establish or Protect it. The Magistrates being all against them. --- But when once Constantine took upon him to manage the Affairs of the Church, and by Penall Laws, ratified and confirmed Church Orders. --- He laid that foundation of Anti-Christian Tyranny, which presently after him, his Son Constanting exercised against the Assertors of the Trinity : and, the Churches worldly power encreasing as fast, as the purity of Religion did decrease; the Bishops of Rome within a few years, gained to themselves, and have ever fince practised severely against such, whom they call Heretiks, i. Deniers of their Factions Doctrine; and oppolers of their most Ungospel-like, but indeed most Politick and Prudentiall Impolitions.

Whose surious and bloudy Tenets have, like subtle Poison, run through the Veines of almost all Professors; scarce any fort even Concerning Things Indifferent, &c.

of Protestante, allowing to others that Liberty of Religion; which at the beginning of their Sects, they juttly challenged to themselves. Nor is there any Hope, that the world should be treed from Cruelty, difguised under the name of zeal, till it pleased God to informe all Magistrates, how far their Commission reaches, that their proper Province is only over the Body, to repress and correct those morall vices, to which our Outmard manis fubject : But as for Christian Religion, fince it is fo Pure and Simple, fo free from State and worldly magnificence, so Gentle and Complying with the meanest Christian, and withall so remote from Harshness, Rigon, and Severny; there the Magistrate most consults Gods honour and his ownduty, it being ftrict to himself, he leaves all others in these. Outward Ceromonies, to their Inward Convictions. Which Liberty, is to farre from weakning, that it is indeed the facurity of a Throne; fince befides gaining the Peoples Love (especially the most Consciencious and fober of them) it doth in a special manner entitle him to Gods Protection: Since in not pretending to be wifer then God, he gives Religion the free and Undisturbed Passage, which our Sai viour feems by his Life and Death to have opened for it.

EINIS.

Land to the Local trade is the past of the carrier to the

ron, a librarial state with some

april il (en minte)

